

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------|---|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 09/770,358 | 01/25/2001 | Ashish Thusoo | 256/295 | 7894 | |
| 23639 | 7590 01/28/2003 | | | | |
| BINGHAM, MCCUTCHEN LLP | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | ARCADERO, SUITE 1800 ISCO, CA 94111-4067 | | TO, BAO | TO, BAOQUOC N | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2172 | *** | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 01/29/2003 | DATE MAIL ED: 01/29/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
|---|--|---|------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/770,358 | THUSOO ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Baoquoc N To | 2172 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of to the fill apply and will expire SIX (6) Micause the application to become | a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | n. |
| Status 1) Responsible to communication (a) filed on | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | |
| , <u> </u> | is action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under I Disposition of Claims | ince except for formal in Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (| c.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | IS |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application | on | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | · | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | ·. | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep | ted or b) objected to by | the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in abe | yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a)⊠ approved b)□ | disapproved by the Examiner. | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | • | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C | . § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application. | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) | | |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | • | | ion) |
| _a) The translation of the foreign language pro | visional application has | been received. | ony. |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic Attachment(s) | o priority under 35 U.S. | 5. 33 120 and/01 121. | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice (| w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| | | | |

Art Unit: 2172

. /.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-16 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng et al. (US. Patent No. 5,963,933).

Regarding on claim 1, Cheng teaches a method for applying a row from a source table to a destination table, the method comprising:

Selecting first column from a source table (left table) (col. 2, line 40);

Selecting a second column from a destination table (right table, line 41);

Performing an outer join (outer join) operation on the source table and the destination table using the first and second columns (col. 2, lines 41-44);

Cheng does not explicitly teaches updating each row in the destination table in row the results of the outer join operation containing a matching element in the first and second columns; and inserting into the destination table row from the results of the full outer join operation with a non-matching elements in the first and second column. However, Cheng teaches, "if the tuples of tables are preserved, then the operation is called full outer join" (col. 2, lines 49-50). In addition, Cheng also teaches, "in the output

Art Unit: 2172

or answer set, for the non-matching tuples of a preserved table, NULL values assigned to the columns of other table" (col. 2, lines 53-55). This teaches the Null values are assigned to the columns of the other table (destination table). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to include the full outer join to combine those tables containing the columns of rows join those records and fill in the NULL values for empty columns to allow the user to receive the corrected result set from the requested query.

Regarding on claim 2, teaches the combining the rows in the source table that the first column has unique element in each row (col. 8, lines 39-42).

Regarding on claim 3, teaches the combining step further comprises:

Sorting the rows in the source table based on the element in the first column (col. 9, lines 23-25); and

Creating a groups of rows, wherein each row in the group of rows contains a matching element in the first column (col. 9, lines 23-25);

Combining the group of rows into a single row (col. 9, lines 30-35).

Regarding on claim 4, Cheng does not explicitly teach the outer join operation uses an equal comparison operator for a comparison statement (equals "=") (col. 4, lines 4, lines 29-36).

Art Unit: 2172

Regarding on claim 5, Cheng teaches a single query language statement to insert a new row or update an existing row in database table, the statement implementing a process comprising the steps of:

Selecting from a source table (left table) a first column comprising a plurality of elements (col. 2, line 40);

Selecting from a destination table (right table) a second column comprising a plurality of elements (col. 2, line 41);

Determining a set of matching rows based upon the success of a comparison operation on an element in the first column and an element in the second column (col. 9, lines 24-28);

Determining a set of non-matching rows based upon the failure of a comparison operation on the first column element and the second column element (col. 10, lines 3-37);

Cheng does not explicitly teach updating the destination table with the set of matching rows; and inserting into destination table the set of non-matching rows.

However, Cheng teaches, "if the tuples of tables are preserved, then the operation is called full outer join" (col. 2, lines 49-50). In addition, Cheng also teaches, "in the output or answer set, for the non-matching tuples of a preserved table, NULL values assigned to the columns of other table" (col. 2, lines 53-55). This teaches the Null values are assigned to the columns of the other table (destination table). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to include the full outer join to combine

Art Unit: 2172

those tables containing the columns of rows join those records and fill in the NULL values for empty columns to allow the user to receive the corrected result set from the requested query.

Regarding on claim 6, Cheng teaches combining the rows in the source table, wherein the resulting source table has a unique element in each row of the first column (col. 8, lines 39-42).

Regarding on claim 7, Cheng teaches the combining step further comprises:

Sorting the rows in the source table based on the element in the first column (col.

9, lines 23-25); and

Creating a group of rows, wherein each row in the group of rows contain a matching element in the first column (col. 9, lines 23-25);

Combining the group of rows into a single row (col. 9, lines 30-35).

Regarding on claim 8, Cheng teaches the comparison operation uses an equal comparison operator (equals "=") (col. 4, lines 4, lines 29-36).

Art Unit: 2172

Regarding on claim 9, Cheng teaches a method for upserting a source table with a destination table in a single query language, the method comprising:

Selecting from a source table (left table) a first column comprising a plurality of elements (col. 2, line 40);

Selecting from a destination table (right table) a second column comprising a plurality of elements (col. 2, line 41);

Cheng does not explicitly teach updating a row in the destination table with a row from the source table upon the success of a comparison operation on an element in the first column of the row from the source table and an element in the second column of the row from the destination table; and inserting a row from the source table into the destination table upon the failure of a comparison operation on an element in the first column of the row from the source table and an element in the second column of the row from the destination table. However, Cheng teaches, "if the tuples of tables are preserved, then the operation is called full outer join" (col. 2, lines 49-50). In addition. Cheng also teaches, "in the output or answer set, for the non-matching tuples of a preserved table, NULL values assigned to the columns of other table" (col. 2, lines 53-55). This teaches the Null values are assigned to the columns of the other table (destination table). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to include the full outer join to combine those tables containing the columns of rows join those records and fill in the NULL values for empty columns to allow the user to receive the corrected result set from the requested query.

Art Unit: 2172

Regarding on claim 10, Cheng teaches combining the rows in the source table, wherein the resulting source table has a unique element in each row of the first column (col. 8, lines 39-42).

Regarding on claim 11, Cheng teaches the combining the step further comprises:

Sorting the rows in the source table based on the element in the first column (col.

9, lines 23-25); and

Creating a group of rows, wherein each row in the group of rows contains a matching element in the first column (col. 9, lines 23-25);

Combining the group of rows into a single row (col. 9, lines 30-35).

Regarding on claim 12, Cheng teaches the comparison operation uses an equal comparison operator (equals "=") (col. 4, lines 4, lines 29-36).

Art Unit: 2172

Regarding on claim 13, Cheng teaches a computer implemented method for aggregating data in a database, comprising:

Parsing from a command line, a command, a source table (left table), a destination table (right table), a source key, and a destination key (col. 2, lines 42-47);

Comparing the source key in each row of the source table with the destination key in each row of the destination table (col. 9, lines 20-24);

Determining a set of update rows based upon the success of a comparison operation performed on the source key and the destination key (col. 9, lines 29-31);

Determining a set of insert rows based upon the failure of a comparison operation performed on the source key and the destination key (col. 9, lines 32-37);

Cheng does not explicitly teach updating the destination table with the set of update rows; and Inserting into the destination table the set of insert rows. Cheng teaches, "if the tuples of tables are preserved, then the operation is called full outer join" (col. 2, lines 49-50). In addition, Cheng also teaches, "in the output or answer set, for the non-matching tuples of a preserved table, NULL values assigned to the columns of other table" (col. 2, lines 53-55). This teaches the Null values are assigned to the columns of the other table (destination table). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to include the full outer join to combine those tables containing the columns of rows join those records and fill in the NULL values for empty columns to allow the user to receive the corrected result set from the requested query.

Art Unit: 2172

Regarding on claim 14, Cheng teaches combining the rows in the source table, wherein the resulting source table has a unique source key in each row of the source

Page 9

table (col. 8, lines 39-42).

Regarding on claim 15, Cheng teaches sorting the rows in the source table based on the source key (col. 9, lines 23-25); and

Creating a group of rows, wherein each row in the group of rows contain a

matching element in the source key (col. 9, lines 23-25);

Combining the group of rows into a single row (col. 9, lines 30-35).

Regarding on claim 16, Cheng teaches the comparison operation uses an equal

comparison operator (equals "=") (col. 4, lines 4, lines 29-36).

Art Unit: 2172

Page 10

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Eberhard et al.

(US. Patent No. 6,003,022)

Date: Dec. 14, 1999

Tarin

(US. Patent No. 6,009,432)

Date: Dec. 28, 1999

Galindo-Legaria et al.

(US. Patent No. 6,411,951) Date: June 25, 2002

Contact Information

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baoquoc N. To whose telephone number is (703) 305-1949 or via e-mail Baoquoc N. To@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 8:00 AM – 4:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y. Vu can be reached at (703) 305-4393.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231.

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follow:

• (703) 746-7238 [After Final Communication]]

• (703) 746-7239 [Official Communication]

• (703) 746-7240 [Non-Official Communication]

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Park II

2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington, VA 22202

Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

PRÍMARY EXAMINER

Baoquoc N. To

January 17, 2002